Synthesis Of [2,2&x,4,4-D5]CP-88,818 (Tiqueside), An Internal Standard For A Quantitative HPLC/MS Assay System

Michael **P. Zawistoski, Jeffrey P.** Kiplinger and Peter **A. McCarthy***

Central Research Division, Pfizer Inc.

Eastern Point Road, Groton, Connecticut 06340

(Received in *USA 8 February 1993; accepted 30 March 1993)*

Akstmct: **A** polydeuterated form of *CP-88.818* **(1. tiqueside) was needed as an internal** standard for a quantitative HPLC/MS assay system. [2,2,3α,4,4-D5]CP-88,818 **(11)** with undetectable D_{Ω} content was synthesized in five steps from tigogenin (2). The low $D₀$ content was achieved through two sequential incorporation procedures which gave results superior to those achieved through a single incorporation procedure. A preparatively useful procedure for removing spirostane impurities found in naturally occurring tigogenin was also discovered.

INTRODUCTION

Compounds containing stable isotopes have demonstrated great utility for several types of drug metabolism studies.' One powerful example of this utility is the application of compounds containing stable isotopes as internal standards for quantitative HPLC/MS assay systems.² Not only does such an internal standard have extraction properties identical to that of the sample compound, but it also has an identical HPLC retention time, thereby avoiding precision errors introduced through ionization fluctuations. So long as the compound containing the stable isotope is essentially free of unlabelled $(D₀)$ material, it provides a clear comparison between sample compound and internal standard needed to normalize assay samples.

8-88.818 **(1. tiqueside) is a** novel hypocholesterolemic agent now under clinical $investigation.³$ While developing a quantitative HPLC/MS-based assay system, it became clear that use of CP-88,818 labeled with stable isotopes as an internal standard was mandatory if acceptable precision were to be achieved. After consideration of several alternatives, we selected a pentadeuterated derivative, [2,2,3u,4,4-D5]CP-88,818 **(11) as our target. The basis** for this choice was manifold. First of all, most large mass spectrometry fragments of CP-88,818 contained an intact A-ring, thus locating the deuteriums in this ring allowed the option of analyzing daughter particles if necessary. Secondly, the incorpomtion of multiple deuteriums would allow for adequate separation of the ion plumes in the mass spectmm. Thirdly, the position appeared synthetically accessible, yet unlikely to alter significantly fragmentation rates relative to the unlabeled sample. Finally, the deuteriums could be incorporated into the molecule in two separate synthetic steps. While such a strategy tends to reduce the isotopic purity of the D₅ product, it also reduces the likelihood that any D_0 material will remain. With these considerations in mind, we set out to synthesize $[2,2,3\alpha,4,4-D₅]CP-88,818 (11)$.

DISCUSSION

Our **synthetic** route is shown in Scheme I. The final steps would involve coupling of a cellobiose unit with $[2,2,3\alpha,4,4-D₅]$ digogenin (8). The labeled tigogenin would be derived from unlabeled tigogenin (2) through a three step process of oxidation, deuterium exchange and deuteride reduction.

The first objective was to obtain tigogenin which was free of other naturally occuring spirostane impurities. Our starting lot of tigogenin contained three spirostane impurities: diosgenin (1.5%). hecogenin (0.8%) and aa unknown spirostane (2.7%). Although the presence of any of these impurities reduces the quality of the internal standard, olefm-containing impurities such as diosgenin were the biggest problem in that they introduced a starting material

with a molecular weight two mass units lower than that of tigogenin. Recrystallization from 1:3 THF:MeOH slightly altered the content of these impurities (1.9% diosgenin, 0.5% hecogenin and 2.7% unknown spirostane), but not to an acceptable level. HPLC separation of the impurities was achieved but deemed impractical for preparative work. Removal of diosgenin through a classical bromination route⁴ gave low yields of pure tigogenin, presumably because of 23-bromide formation. $4a,5$ Although satisfactory, the procedure was eventually replaced as described below.

The second objective was to assure that high purity 3 β -alcohol was obtainable from 5α spirostan-3-one (3). Achieving 3β -selectivity during hydride reductions in steroidal systems is trivial;^{4a} however, we needed to assure that any 3α -alcohol produced could be effectively removed after the reduction. To this end, ncrystallized tigogenin was oxidized to 3 using Swem conditions (Scheme I). This material was purified by flash chromatography and reduced with LiAlH₄ to give a 6:1 mixture of alcohols. The α -alcohol (5) migrated nearly 0.1 R_f unit faster than the β -alcohol (2), thus achievement of 2 in high purity was assured. HPLC analysis of 2 also demonstrated that all of the spirostane impurities contained in the starting material wete absent from the product. Subsequent investigation showed that the spirostane impurities were removed during chromatography of 3. This result obviated the bromination procedure as a means of removing the spirostaue impurities.

The third objective of this work was to incorporate the deuteriums into tigogenin. Treatment of 3 with NaOD in THF and CD₃OD provided 5α -[2,2,4,4-D₄]spirostan-3-one (4). Reduction with LiAlH₄ and purification provided $[2,2,4,4-D₄]$ tigogenin (6) with the following product distribution as determined by MS (ion, relative intensity): D_0 , 2%; D_1 , 2%; D_2 , 11%; D₃, 21%; D₄, 100%. Reduction of 4 with LiAlD₄ and purification provided [2,2,3 α ,4,4-D5] digogenin (8) with the following MS product distribution: D_0 , 0%; D_1 , 0%; D_2 , 1%; D_3 , 4%; D4, 23%; D5, 100%. These results clearly indicate that the strategy of sequential deuterations was successful in minimizing the $D₀$ content of the sample.

With 8 in hand, conversion into $[2,2,3\alpha,4,4-D₅]CP-88,818 (11)$ was achieved in the following manner: Following the procedure of Urban⁶, 8 was coupled with α trichloroacetimidate heptaacetylcellobiose in the presence of BF_3E_2O to give heptaacetate 10. Deprotection with NaOMe provided 11 with the following MS product distribution: D_0 , 0%; D_1 , 0%, D_2 , 1%; D_3 , 2%, D_4 , 18%, D_5 , 100%. This product distribution corresponds to 97%/atom deuterium incorporation for 11.

The LSIMS (liquid secondary ion mass spectrometry) spectra of 1 (Fig. 1) and 11 (Fig. 2) are shown above for comparison of the regions of the protonated molecules. The $(M+H)^+$ ion from the unlabelled compound, at m/z 741, shows two losses of H₂ at m/z 739 and 737. The 13_C isotope at 738 and 740 are present at the expected intensities, given the level of chemical

noise in the spectrum. The deuterated material exhibits an intense $(M+H)^+$ ion at m/z 746. demonstrating that the material is primarily D_5 . This ion also loses $2H_2$, but no loss of H_2 and HD, 2HD or 2D₂ is observable above chemical noise. These losses should take place from specific locations in the molecule, rather than at random; so we conclude that the losses of H_2 do not involve the protons at the 2. 3 or 4 positions. The peaks at 741-746 in the deuterated material's spectrum are therefore a convolution of the unlabelled compound's spectrum in the region of 737-741, the protonated molecules of the D_0 - D_5 compounds, and their ¹³C isotope peaks. The relative peak intensities from the unlabelled material may be subtracted, and the resultant D_0 - D_5 amounts, as reported above, are available by inspection.

In conclusion, we have described a synthesis of $[2,2,3\alpha,4,4-D₅]CP-88,818$ (11). This material has undetectable D_0 content as judged by LSIMS mass spectrometry. The low D_0 content was achieved through two sequential incorporation procedures which gave results superior to those achieved through a single incorporation procedure. We also discovered a preparatively-useful procedure for removing spirostaue impurities found in natumlly-occurring tigogenin. The [2,2,3a,4,4-D5]CP-88,818 **(11) prepared** by this route has been successfully used to establish a quantitative HPLC/MS assay system for CP-88,818 (tiqueside, 1).

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors wish to thank M. M. Andino and M. L. Dumont for kindly providing HPLC analysis of intermediates.

EXPERIMENTAL

General. Mass spectrometric analyses were carried out using a Kratos Concept-1s spectrometer (Kratos Analytical, Ramsey, NJ), operating at 8 kV accelerating potential. Electron ionization

spectra were generated under impact of 70 eV electrons, with the source temperature at 200°C. Samples were introduced via a heated direct insertion probe. Liquid Secondary Ion Mass Spectra (LSIMS, similar to FAB/MS) were generated from a matrix of 1:4 v/v dithioerythritol/dithiothreitol with an impacting $Cs⁺$ ion energy of 16 kV. High resolution results were obtained at a resolving power of 10,000 - 12.000.

Complete carbon NMR data and diagnostic proton NMR and IR data are provided for new compounds. Carbon NMR assignments are based on literature precedence,⁷ DEPT and ¹H- 13_C correlation spectra, and deuterium-induced muting of 13_C signals.⁸

 $(25R)$ -Sa-Spirostan-3-one (3). DMSO $(3.7 \text{ mL}, 51.8 \text{ mmoles})$ was added dropwise with stirring to a solution of oxalyl chloride $(3.656 \text{ g}, 28.8 \text{ mmoles})$ in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) and anhydrous THF (20 mL) at -78^oC under N₂. The resulting solution was stirred at -78^oC for 5 min, then it was added dropwise with stirring to a solution of 2 (10.0 g, 24.0 mmoles) in anhydrous THF (200 mL) at -78^oC under N₂. The resulting white suspension was stirred at -78^oC for 30 min, then Et₃N (17 mL, 122 mmoles) was added. The resulting thick suspension was stirred at $-780C$ for 40 min, then allowed to warm to rt. The reaction was diluted with $CH₂Cl₂$ (160 mL) and hexane (640 mL) and this mixture was washed first with 10% aqueous NaHSO₄ (250 mL) and then with H₂O (2 X 160 mL). The organic layer was dried (MgSO₄) then evaporated in vacuo to give 9.71 g of crude product. Repeated purification (2X) by flash chromatography. eluting with 4:l hcxanc:EtOAc gave 4.368 g (44% yiekl) of 3 as a white solid, mp 209-210^oC; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ ; 2.40-2.25 (m, 2H, H_{2 α , H₂ β), 2.22 (dd, J = 16 and 14} Hz, 1H, H₄ β), 2.03 (ddd, J = 16 Hz, 3 Hz and 2 Hz, 1H, H_{4 α}); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 211.8 (C₃), 109.2 (C₂₂), 80.7 (C₁₆), 66.8 (C₂₆), 62.2 (C₁₇), 56.1 (C₁₄), 53.8 (C₉), 46.6 (C₅), 44.7 (C_4) , 41.6 (C_2) , 40.5 (C_1) , 39.9 (C_1) , 38.4 (C_1) , 38.1 (C_2) , 35.8 (C_1) , 35.0 (C_8) , 31.9 (C_7) , 31.8 (C_15) , 31.4 (C_23) , 30.3 (C_25) , 28.8 (C_6) , 28.8 (C_24) , 21.2 (C_11) , 17.1 (C_27) , 16.4 (C₁₈), 14.5 (C₂₁), 11.5 (C₁₉); IR (KBr) 2900 (C-H stretch), 1720 (C=O stretch), 1055 (C-O-C asymmetric stretch) cm⁻¹; EI MS, m/z (relative intensity) 414 (M⁺·).

Anal. Calcd. for C₂₇H₄₂O₃: C, 78.21; H, 10.21. Found: C, 78.22; H, 10.39.

(25R)-2,2,4,4-Tetradeuterio-5α-spirostan-3-one (4). NaOD (30% in D₂O) (2.20 g. 16.1 **mmoles) was added to a solution of 3 (2.00 g, 4.82 mmoles) in anhydrous THF (70 mL) and** CD₃OD (30.00 g, 832 mmoles) and this solution was stirred at rt under N_2 for 17 h. The **maction mixture was evaporated** *in vucuo to give* 3.373 g of 4 as **a yellow solid. This was used** immediately in the next step.

 $(25R)$ -5 α -Spirostan-3 β -ol (2) and $(25R)$ -5 α -spirostan-3 α -ol (5). A solution of 3 (0.300 g, 0.7

mmole) in Et₂O (35 mL) was added dropwise with stirring to a suspension of LiAlH₄ (0.088 g, 2.3 mmole) in Et₂O (20 mL) at rt under N₂. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt under N₂ for 3 h, then quenched by the successive dropwise addition of $H₂O$ (1.0 mL), 15% aqueous NaOH (1.0 mL) and H20 (3.0 mL). The msulting suspension was filtered and the filtrate was evaporated *in vacuo* to give 0.282 g of crude mixed alcohols. Purification by flash chromatography, eluting with 6:4 hexane:EtOAc gave 0.050 g $(17\% \text{ yield})^9$ of 2 as a white solid, $(Rf = 0.44, 1:1$ hexane:EtOAc), mp 211-212^oC; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.55 (dddd, J = 11, 11, 5.5, 5.5 Hz, 1H, H_{3 α}); ¹³C NMR^{7b} (CDCl₃) δ 109.2 (C₂₂), 80.8 (C₁₆), 71.3 (C₃), 66.8 (C₂₆), 62.2 $(C₁₇)$, 56.3 $(C₁₄)$, 54.4 $(C₉)$, 44.8 $(C₅)$, 41.6 $(C₂₀)$, 40.6 $(C₁₃)$, 40.1 $(C₁₂)$, 38.2 $(C₄)$, 37.0 (C_1) , 35.6 (C_{10}) , 35.1 (C_8) , 32.2 (C_7) , 31.8 (C_{15}) , 31.5 (C_2) , 31.4 (C_{23}) , 30.3 (C_{25}) , 28.8 (C_{24}) , 28.6 (C_{6}) , 21.1 (C_{11}) , 17.1 (C_{27}) , 16.5 (C_{18}) , 14.5 (C_{21}) , 12.4 (C_{19}) ; IR (KBr) 3550-3200 (O-H stretch), 2930 (C-H stretch), 1240 (O-H bend), 1175 (C-O stretch) cm⁻¹; EI MS, m/z (relative intensity) 416 $(M^+$.).

Anal. Calcd. for $C_{27}H_{44}O_3.0.5H_2O$: C, 76.19; H, 10.66. Found: C, 75.89; H, 10.60.

Flash chromatography also gave 0.025 g (8% yield) of 5 as a white solid, $(Rf = 0.54, 1:1$ hexane:EtOAc), mp 230-232°C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 4.01 (br s, 1H, H_{3B}); ¹³C NMR (CDCL₃) δ 109.2 (C₂₂), 80.9 (C₁₆), 66.8 (C₂₆), 66.5 (C₃), 62.2 (C₁₇), 56.4 (C₁₄), 54.3 (C₉), 41.6 (C_{20}) , 40.6 (C_{13}) , 40.1 (C_{12}) , 39.1 (C_5) , 36.2 (C_{10}) , 35.9 (C_4) , 35.1 (C_1) , 32.2 (C_7) , 32.1 (C_8) , 31.7 (C_15) , 31.4 (C_23) , 30.3 (C_25) , 29.0 (C_2) , 28.8 (C_24) , 28.5 (C_6) , 20.6 (C_11) , 17.1 (C₂₇), 16.5 (C₁₈), 14.5 (C₂₁), 11.2 (C₁₉); IR (KBr) 3500-3300 (O-H stretch), 2910 (C-H stretch), 1250 (O-H bend), 1165 (C-O stretch) cm⁻¹; EI MS, m/z (relative intensity) 416 (M⁺ \cdot).

Anal. Calcd. for C₂₇H₄₄O₃: C, 77.83; H, 10.65. Found: C, 77.83; H, 10.32.

(25R)-2,2,4,4-Tetradeuterio-5 α -spirostan-3 β -ol (6). A solution of crude 4 (0.644 g, assumed to contain 0.404 g, 0.97 mmole of ketone) in anhydrous Et₂O (50 mL) was added slowly with stirring to a suspension of LiAlH₄ (0.13 g, 3.4 mmoles) in anhydrous Et₂O (25 mL) at rt under N2. The reaction was stirred at rt for 30 min. then quenched by the successive dropwise addition of H₂O (1.0 mL), 15% NaOH in H₂O (1.0 mL) and H₂O (3.0 mL). The resulting suspension was filtered and the filtrate was evaporated *in vacua to give* 2.354 g of crude product. Purification by flash chromatography, eluting with 8:2 hexane:EtOAc gave 0.018 g (4% yield)⁹ of 6 as a white solid, mp 198-200°C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.54 (s, 1H, H_{3a}); ¹³C NMR⁸ $(CDC1_3)$ δ 109.2 (C₂₂), 80.8 (C₁₆), 71.1 (C₃), 66.8 (C₂₆), 62.2 (C₁₇), 56.3 (C₁₄), 54.3 (C₉), 44.7 (C₅), 41.6 (C₂₀), 40.6 (C₁₃), 40.1 (C₁₂), 36.8 (C₁), 35.5 (C₁₀), 35.1 (C₈), 32.2 (C₇), 31.8 (C_15) , 31.4 (C_23) , 30.3 (C_25) , 28.8 (C_24) , 28.6 (C_6) , 21.1 (C_11) , 17.1 (C_27) , 16.5 (C_18) , 14.5 (C_{21}) , 12.4 (C_{19}) ; IR (KBr) 3450-3050 (O-H stretch), 2920 (C-H stretch), 2190, 2120 (C-D stretch), 1250 (O-H bend), 1185 (C-O stretch) cm^{-1} ; High Resolution LSIMS: Calcd. for

 $C_{27}H_{41}D_{4}O_{3}$ (M+H)⁺: m/z 421.3620. Found: m/z 421.3585.

Anal. Calcd. for C₂₇H₄₀D₄O₃-0.7H₂O: C, 74.84; H, 10.33. Found: C, 74.80; H, 10.42.

The $(25R)$ -2,2,4,4-tetradeuterio-5 α -spirostan-3 α -ol (7) which was produced in the reaction was not isolated.

(25R)-2,2,3α,4,4-Pentadeuterio-5α-spirostan-3β-ol (8) and (25R)-2,2,3β,4,4-pentadeuterio- 5α -spirostan-3 α -ol (9). A solution of crude 4 (3.373 g, assumed to contain 2.018 g, 4.8) mmoles of ketone) in anhydrous Et₂O (250 mL) was added slowly with stirring to a suspension of LiAlD₄ (1.79 g, 42.6 mmoles) in anhydrous Et₂O (100 mL) at rt under N₂. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for **30 min,** then quenched by the successive dropwise addition of D20 (2.0 mL) , 30% NaOD in D₂O (1.0 mL) and D₂O (6.0 mL) . The resulting suspension was filtered and the filtrate was evaporated in vacuo to give 1.537 g of crude mixed alcohols. Purification by flash chromatography, eluting with 8:2 hexane:EtOAc gave 1.266 g (62% recovery) of 8 as a white solid, $(Rf = 0.13, 8:2 \text{ hexane:EtOAc})$, mp 205-207^oC; ¹³C NMR⁸ $(CDC1_3)$ δ 109.2 (C₂₂), 80.8 (C₁₆), 66.8 (C₂₆), 62.2 (C₁₇), 56.3 (C₁₄), 54.4 (C₉), 44.7 (C₅), 41.6 (C₂₀), 40.6 (C₁₃), 40.1 (C₁₂), 36.8 (C₁), 35.5 (C₁₀), 35.1 (C₈), 32.2 (C₇), 31.8 (C₁₅), 31.4 (C₂₃), 30.3 (C₂₅), 28.8 (C₂₄), 28.6 (C₆), 21.1 (C₁₁), 17.1 (C₂₇), 16.5 (C₁₈), 14.5 (C₂₁), 12.3 (Clg); IR (KRr) 3450-3100 (O-H stretch), 2930 (C-H stretch), 2200, 2120, 2100 (C-D stretch), 1225 (O-H bend), 1165 (C-O stretch) cm-l; High Resolution LSIMS: Calcd. for C_2 7H₄₀D₅O₃ (M+H)⁺: m/z 422.3682. Found: m/z 422.3722. An X-ray crystal structure of 8 confirmed the 3β configuration.

Anal. Calcd. for C₂₇H₃₉D₅O₃·H₂O: C, 73.75; H, 10.51. Found: C, 73.97; H, 10.89.

Flash chromatography also gave 0.201 g (10% recovery) of 9 as a white solid, (Rf = 0.18, 8:2 hexane:EtOAc), mp 240-242ºC; ¹³C NMR⁸ (CDCl₃) δ 109.2 (C₂₂), 80.9 (C₁₆), 66.8 (C_{26}) , 62.2 (C_{17}) , 56.4 (C_{14}) , 54.3 (C_{9}) , 41.6 (C_{20}) , 40.6 (C_{13}) , 40.1 (C_{12}) , 38.9 (C_{5}) , 36.1 (C_{10}) , 35.1 (C_1) , 32.2 (C_7) , 31.9 (C_8) , 31.7 (C_{15}) , 31.4 (C_{23}) , 30.3 (C_{25}) , 28.8 (C_{24}) , 28.4 (C_6) , 20.6 (C_1) , 17.1 (C_27) , 16.5 (C_18) , 14.5 (C_21) , 11.2 (C_19) ; IR (KBr) 3550-3250 (O-H stretch), **2900** (C-H stretch), 2190, 2160, 2130 (C-D stretch), 1245 (O-H bend), 1175 (C-O stretch) cm⁻¹; High Resolution LSIMS: Calcd. for C₂₇H₄₀D₅O₃ (M+H)⁺: m/z 422.3682. Found: m/z 422.3679.

Anal. Calcd. for C₂₇H₃₉D₅O₃: C, 76.90; H, 10.53. Found: C, 77.17; H, 10.73.

(25R)-2,2,3α,4,4-Pentadeuterio-5α-spirostan-3β-yl β-cellobioside heptaacetate (10). A solution of 8 (0.200 g, 0.5 mmole) and a-ttichlomacetimidate heptaacetylcellobiose (0.370 g. 0.5 mmole) in anhydrous toluene (30 mL) was refluxed under $N₂$ with a Dean-Stark trap for 30 min, then cooled to rt. BF_3E_2O (0.012 mL, 1.0 mmole) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt under N₂ for 1.5 h. The reaction was quenched by the addition of NaHCO₃ (0.4 g) in H₂O (7 mL) . The resulting mixture was stirred for 10 min then the aqueous layer was separated, and the organic layer was washed with H₂O (7 mL), dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated in vacuo to give 0.459 g of yellow solid. Purification by flash chromatography, eluting with $7:3$ hexane:EtOAc gave 0.210 g (43% yield) of 10 as a white solid, mp 231-233 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl3) 6 2.08 (s, 3H, acetyl CH3), 2.05 (s, 3H, acetyl CH3), 2.00 (s, 6H. acetyl CH3), 1.97 (s, 6H, acetyl CH₃), 1.95 (s, 3H, acetyl CH₃); ¹³C NMR⁸ (CDCl₃) δ 170.5 (C₆^{α}Ac), 170.3 $(C_f$ Ac), 170.2 (C₃ⁿAc), 169.8 (C₂₁Ac), 169.5 (C₃¹Ac), 169.3 (C₄ⁿAc), 169.0 (C₂ⁿAc), 109.2 (C_{22}) , 100.7 (C_1) , 99.4 (C_1) , 80.8 (C_1) , 76.6 (C_4) , 73.0 (C_3) , 72.6 (C_5) , 72.6 (C_2) , 71.9 (C5¹), 71.8 (C3¹), 71.7 (C₂¹), 67.9 (C₄ⁿ), 66.8 (C₂₆), 62.2 (C₁7), 62.1 (C₆ⁿ), 61.6 (C₆^f), 56.3 (C_{14}) , 54.3 (C_9) , 44.6 (C_5) , 41.6 (C_{20}) , 40.6 (C_{13}) , 40.0 (C_{12}) , 36.7 (C_1) , 35.5 (C_{10}) , 35.1 (C_8) , 32.2 (C_7) , 31.8 (C_15) , 31.4 (C_23) , 30.3 (C_25) , 28.8 (C_24) , 28.6 (C_6) , 21.0 (C_11) , 20.8, 20.7, 20.6, 20.5 (Ac methyls), 17.1 (C₂₇), 16.5 (C₁₈), 14.5 (C₂₁), 12.3 (C₁₉); IR (KBr) 2930 (C-H stretch), 2200, 2130,2100 (C-D stretch), 1760 (C=O stretch) cm⁻¹; High Resolution LSIMS: Calcd. for C53H74D5O20 (M+H)⁺: m/z 1040.5479. Found: m/z 1040.5430.

Anal. Calcd. for C53H73D5O20: C, 61.19; H, 7.23. Found: C, 61.55; H, 7.59.

(25R)-2.2.3α.4.4-Pentadeuterio-5α-spirostan-3β-vl β-cellobioside (11, [2,2,3α,4,4-Dς]CP-88,818). A mixture of 10 (0.150 g. 0.15 mmole) and NaOCH3 (O.OOlg **,0.02** mmole) in MeOH (3.0 mL) and anhydrous THF (3.0 mL) was refluxed under $N₂$ for 1 h. The THF was removed by distillation and H₂O (2 drops) was added and the mixture was refluxed for 2.5 h, then cooled to rt and stirred at rt overnight. The solid that separated was collected to give 0.087 g (81% yield) of 11 as a white solid, mp > 300^oC; ¹³C NMR^{8,10} (DMSO-d₆) δ 108.4 (C₂₂), 103.2 (C_1^n) , 100.3 (C_1^n) , 80.7 (C_16) , 80.2 (C_4^n) , 76.8 (C_3^n) , 76.5 (C_5^n) , 75.1 (s) , 74.7 (C_2^n) , 73.3 (C_3) , 73.2 (C_3) , 70.1 (C_4) , 65.9 (C_26) , 62.0 (C_17) , 61.1 (C_6) , 60.5 (C_6) , 55.7 (C_14) , 53.7 (C_9) , 43.9 (C_5) , 41.1 (C_2) , 36.3 (C_1) , 35.3 (C_{10}) , 34.7 (C_8) , 31.9 (C_7) , 31.4 (C_{15}) , 31.0 (C_2) , **29.8 (C25). 28.5 (CD), 28.3 (CB), 20.6 (Cll). 17.1** (C27). 16.2 (C113), 14.7 (C21). 12.1 (C19); fR (KBr) 3650-3050 (O-H stretch), 2900 (C-H stretch), 2200, 2120 (C-D stretch); High Resolution LSIMS: Calcd. for C₃₉H₆₀D₅O₁₃ (M+H)⁺: m/z 746.4739. Found: m/z 746.4720. Anal. Calcd. for C39H59D5O13.H2O: C, 61.31; H, 8.43. Found: C, 61.03; H, 8.63.

REFERENCES

- (1) (a) Baillie, T. A.; Castagnoli, N.; Peterson, L. A.; Rettenmeier, A. W. *Ann. Rep. Med. Chem.* **1984,19,273.** (b) Lee, US.; Penzhalski, R J.; Yost, R A. Ann. *Rep. Med. Chem.* 1986,22, 313.
- (2) (a) Wudy, S. A. Steroids 1990, 55, 463. (b) Dehennin, L.; Reiffsteck, A.; Scholler, R. *Biomed. Mass Spect.* **1980**, 7, 493. (c) Johnson, D. W.; Philipou, G.; Seamark, R. F. J. *Steroid Biochem.* **1981,14.793.**
- (3) (a) McCarthy, P.A. *J. Labelled Cpds. Radiopharmaceut.* **1990**, 28, 1149 and references therein. (b) Harwood, H. J., Jr.; Chandler, C. E.; Pellarin, L. D.; Wilkins, R. W.; Mikolay, L.; Zaccaro, L. *10th Int. Sym. Drugs Affecting Lipid Met.* Houston, TX, Nov. 8-11, 1989, p. 93, abstract 651. (c) Malinow, M. R.; Elliott, W. R; McLaughlin, P.; Upson, B. *J. Lipid Res.* **1987.28, 1.**
- **(4)** (a) Fieser, L. I? and Fieser, M. steroids (Reinhold Pub. Corp., New York, 1959) and references therein. (b) Fujii, K.; Matsukawa, T. *J. Pharm. Soc. Japan* 1936, 56, 408.
- **(5)** (a) Marker, R E.; Turner, D. L.; Shabica, A. C.; Ulshafer, P. R. *J. Am. Chem. Sot.* **1941, 63,1032. (b)** Wall, M. E.; Jones, H. W. *J. Am. Chem.Soc.* **1957,79,3222.**
- **(6)** Urban, F. J.; Moore, B. S.; Breitenbach. R. *Tetrahea'ronLett.* **1990,31,4421.**
- **(7)** (a) Sanders, R; Cheetham, P. S. J.; Hardman, R *Ensyme Microb. Technol.* **1984,9,549.** (b) Eggert, H.; Djerassi, C. *Tetrahedron Len.* **1975, 3635. (c)** Buchanan, C. M.; Hyatt, J. A.; Kelly, S. S.; Little, J. L. *Macromolecules* **1990,23,** 3747. (d) Agrawal, P. K.; Jain, D. C.; Gupta, R. K.; Thakur, R. S. *Phytochemistry* **1985, 24, 2479. (e) Malinow,** M. R **US 4,602,005,** July 22,1986.
- (8) Introduction of deuterium mutes the carbon NMR signal of the deuterium bearing carbon. See Reich, H. J.; Jautelat, M.; Messe, M. T.; Weigert, F. J.; Roberts, J. D. *J. Am. Chem. Sot. 1%9, 91,744s.*
- (9) Yields for exploratory LiAlH₄ reductions were unoptimized.
- (10) C_{12} and C_{13} peaks are obscured by the DMSO peaks.